

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

The equation of state of Bi and cross-checking of Au and Pt scales to megabar pressure

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2002 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14 11495 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/14/44/505)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.97 The article was downloaded on 18/05/2010 at 17:21

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14 (2002) 11495-11500

The equation of state of Bi and cross-checking of Au and Pt scales to megabar pressure

Y Akahama¹, H Kawamura¹ and A K Singh^{1,2}

Faculty of Science, Himeji Institute of Technology, Kamigohri, Hyogo 678-1297, Japan
 Materials Science Division, National Aerospace Laboratories, Bangalore 560 017, India

E-mail: akahama@sci.himeji-tech.ac.jp

Received 25 June 2002 Published 25 October 2002 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/14/11495

Abstract

By means of x-ray diffraction experiments using a synchrotron radiation source, the equation of state (EOS) of body-centred cubic Bi has been investigated over a range of multimegabar pressure up to 222 GPa on the basis of the Pt pressure scale and it is proposed as an internal pressure standard over a range of megabar pressures. Pressure scales of the EOS of Au and Pt were cross-checked and the accuracy of the scales is discussed.

1. Introduction

Recently, the arrival of third-generation synchrotron radiation (SR) sources and development of the diamond anvil cell (DAC) technique have extended the pressure range of materials research by means of x-ray diffraction experiments to 300 GPa. However, there are only a limited number of equations of state (EOS) for elemental materials available as a pressure scale for this extremely high-pressure range.

Bismuth (Bi) is a prototype group Vb element and shows a structural sequence of pressureinduced phase transitions, A7–(distorted sc)–distorted bcc–bcc [1, 2]. These transitions have been used as pressure fixed points at room temperature. The bcc phase, which occurs at 7.7 GPa, has a larger compressibility than Au and Pt and is stable up to 90 GPa [3]. Therefore, the EOS of the bcc phase is considered a candidate for providing the internal pressure standard for *in situ* high-pressure x-ray diffraction studies in the megabar pressure region.

The stability of the bcc phase is examined to multimegabar pressures and the EOS of the bcc phase has been determined on the basis of the Pt pressure scale. This report also includes cross-checking between Pt and Au pressure scales up to 150 GPa.

2. Experimental details

A DAC was used for the high-pressure generation. Powder x-ray diffraction experiments were carried out at 300 K by an angle-dispersive method with an image plate (IP) detector

0953-8984/02/4411495+06\$30.00 © 2002 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK



Figure 1. Typical x-ray diffraction patterns: (a) the patterns of the first run to 145 GPa; (b) those of the second run to 222 GPa.

and a high-energy SR source of $\lambda = 0.3292$ or 0.3275 Å on BL04B2 at SPring-8. The x-ray wavelength, the pixel size of the IP detector and the sample-to-detector distance were calibrated using a powder CeO₂ standard sample. In the first run, foil samples of Bi (99.9999% purity), Pt (99.98% purity) and Au (99.98% purity) were put into a hole in a Re gasket together with a ruby chip and compressed to 145 GPa with anvils of culet diameter 150 μ m. The relation between the Au and Pt scales was also calibrated. In the second run, the Bi and the Pt foil samples were compressed to 222 GPa with anvils of 50 μ m culet diameter. Pressure was determined from the EOS of Pt proposed by Holmes *et al* [4]. No pressure-transmitting medium was used.

3. Results

Figures 1(a) and (b) show typical diffraction patterns for both runs. The pressure was based on the Pt scale of Holmes *et al.* The bcc Bi did not show any structural transition and was stable up to 222 GPa. In the first run, the lattice constants of bcc Bi were determined with an accuracy of about ± 0.0005 Å. Since, in the present experiments, no pressure-transmitting medium was used, non-hydrostatic effects such as uniaxial stress are expected. Due to the uniaxial stress, the lattice strain results in scatter of the lattice constant estimated from each reflection [5]. However, the scatters for Bi, Au and Pt at 145 GPa are estimated to be 0.08, 0.15 and 0.08%, respectively, and smaller than the value (0.2%) for Au at 61 GPa with He as the pressure medium [6]. No remarkable sign of uniaxial stress effects is observed in the $h^2 + k^2 + l^2$ dependence of the lattice constants obtained from each reflection shown in figure 2. The pressure dependences of the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the diffraction peaks for Bi, Au and Pt are shown in figure 3. The FWHM for each sample increases slightly with pressure, reflecting the effect of the pressure gradient and the microscopic stress. Lattice constants (*a*), their estimated standard deviations (esd), *d*-values and calculated errors in the second run are summarized in table 1 for Bi and Pt samples, respectively. The lattice constants



Figure 2. Lattice constants based on *d*-spacing at various pressures for Bi, Pt and Au.



Figure 3. Changes of the FWHM for the reflection lines for Bi, Au and Pt.

of bcc Bi and fcc Pt up to 201 GPa were also determined precisely within the esd of about ± 0.0010 Å and the scatters were about 0.07%.

11497

Table 1. Diffraction data for Bi and Pt at various pressures in the second experimental run.
--

		98.1 GPa			132.0 GPa	L		171.8 GPa	a		201.3 GPa	l		222.0 GP	a
Bi	a esd d-value	3.315 46 0.000 82 <i>a</i>	Error (%)	<i>a</i> esd <i>d</i> -value	3.240 83 0.000 31 <i>a</i>	Error (%)	a esd d-value	3.172 43 0.000 42 <i>a</i>	Error (%)	<i>a</i> esd <i>d</i> -value	3.130 41 0.001 04 <i>a</i>	Error (%	<i>a</i> esd) <i>d</i> -value	3.104 6 0.002 02 <i>a</i>	Error (%)
110 200 211 220 310 222) 2.344 3) 1.657 98 I 1.353 3) 1.171 86) 1.048 79 2	3.315 34 3.315 96 3.314 88 5 3.314 53 9 3.316 57	-0.003 52 0.015 24 -0.017 25 -0.027 93 0.033 45	2.291 8 1.620 54 1.323 15 1.145 79 1.024 66 0.935 52	3.241 1 3.241 08 3.241 04 3.240 77 5 3.240 77 2 3.240 74	$\begin{array}{c} 0.008 \ 13 \\ 0.007 \ 5 \\ 0.006 \ 37 \\ -0.001 \ 87 \\ -0.017 \ 22 \\ -0.002 \ 86 \end{array}$	2.243 11 1.586 29 1.295 1 1.121 5 1.003 13 0.916 03	3.172 23 3.172 58 3.172 32 3.172 08 3.172 17 3.173 21	$\begin{array}{r} -0.00635\\ 0.00473\\ -0.00347\\ -0.01112\\ -0.00818\\ 0.02436\end{array}$	2.21271 1.56553 1.27812 1.1064 0.9903	3.129 25 3.131 05 2.3.130 75 3.129 38 3.131 61	$\begin{array}{r} -0.03705\\ 0.02053\\ 0.01088\\ -0.03268\\ 0.0384\end{array}$	2.194 32 1.553 94 1.267 24 1.097 01 0.981 88	2 3.103 23 3.107 89 3.104 09 3.102 8 3.104 98	-0.043 99 0.105 97 -0.016 36 -0.057 89 0.012 32
Pt	<i>a</i> esd <i>d</i> -value	3.662 76 0.000 88 <i>a</i>	Error (%)	<i>a</i> esd <i>d</i> -value	3.61235 0.00063 <i>a</i>	Error (%)	<i>a</i> esd <i>d</i> -value	3.563 47 0.001 53 <i>a</i>	Error (%)	<i>a</i> esd <i>d</i> -value	3.532 24 0.000 92 <i>a</i>	Error (%	<i>a</i> esd) <i>d</i> -value	3.51221 0.00097 <i>a</i>	Error (%)
111 200 220 311 222 400	2.114 05 1.831 96 1.295 1.104 51 2.1.057 2	5 3.661 63 5 3.663 92 3.662 8 3.663 23 3.662 23	-0.030 82 0.031 51 0.001 02 0.012 71 -0.014 42	2.08546 1.80579 1.27742 1.08921 1.04299	5 3.612 12 9 3.611 58 2 3.613 1 1 3.612 49 9 3.613 01 0.902 95	-0.006 31 -0.021 42 0.020 8 0.003 86 0.018 22 3.611 8	2.057 57 1.780 72 1.260 25 1.074 1 1.029 71 -0.015 11	7 3.563 82 2 3.561 43 5 3.564 53 3.562 4 1 3.565 15	$ \begin{array}{r} 0.00998 \\ -0.05705 \\ 0.02974 \\ -0.02996 \\ 0.04725 \end{array} $	2.038 88 1.766 73 1.248 47 1.065 1 1.019 76	3 3.531 45 3 3.533 47 7 3.531 2 3.532 54 5 3.532 54	-0.022 34 0.034 74 -0.029 44 0.008 42 0.008 58	2.026 99 1.756 75 1.241 68 1.059 1 1.013 85	9 3.510 85 5 3.513 49 3 3.512 3.512 63 5 3.512 07	-0.038 6 0.036 55 -0.006 07 0.011 94 -0.003 84



Figure 4. The relation between the Holmes Pt scale and the others.

4. Cross-checking of the Pt and Au pressure scales

The EOS of Pt has been proposed as a pressure scale by Holmes *et al* on the basis of Hugoniot data up to 660 GPa [4] while Jamieson's scales of Au and Pt were limited to about 100 GPa [7]. In order to compare with our previous compression data on Bi [3], which were scaled with the EOS of Au by Jamieson *et al*, the Pt and Au scales were cross-checked. Figure 4 shows the relation between these scales based on the Holmes Pt scale. It is found that there is the remarkable difference between Holmes' Pt and Jamieson's Au scales, and around 150 GPa the latter scale provides pressure lower by 20 GPa than the former. The difference in the higher-pressure region between Au and Pt scales is an open question. It is crucial to verify the accuracy of these scales in the megabar pressure range.

5. The equation of state of bcc Bi

The pressure dependence of the unit-cell volume is shown in figure 5 together with previous data [3] which were measured with a laboratory source, Mo K α , by using the Au pressure scale given by Jamieson *et al* [3]. If we replot the present P-V curve with the Au scale, the result is in good agreement with our previous data shown in this figure. The bulk modulus, B_0 , its pressure derivative, B'_0 , and the relative atomic volume, V/V_0 , of the bcc phase at atmospheric pressure are estimated to be 51.8(5), 4.91(6) and 0.862(7) GPa, respectively, from a least-squares fitting to the Birch–Murnaghan equation. B_0 and B'_0 for bcc Bi are smaller than the values for Au and Pt. The bcc phase is stable up to 222 GPa and the FWHM of the diffraction peaks and the scatter of the lattice constant based on the *d*-spacing are also small compared with those for these elements. The EOS of bcc Bi may be proposed as a candidate for providing the pressure scale at megabar pressure.



Figure 5. The pressure dependence of the unit-cell volume of bcc Bi.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (Grant No 12640321) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and performed under Proposal No 2000B0178-CD-np at SPring-8.

References

- [1] Iwasaki H and Kikegawa T 1997 Acta Crystallogr. B 53 353
- [2] Aoki K, Fujiwara S and Kusakabe M 1982 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 51 3826
- [3] Akahama Y, Kobayashi M and Kawamura H Proc. 31th High Pressure Conf. (Osaka 1990) pp 392-3
- [4] Holmes N C et al 1989 J. Appl. Phys. 66 2962
- [5] Singh A K 1993 J. Appl. Phys. 73 4278
- [6] Singh A K 1993 J. Appl. Phys. 74 5920
- [7] Takemura K 2001 J. Appl. Phys. 89 662
- [8] Jamieson J C et al 1980 Adv. Earth Planet. Sci. 12 27
- [9] Anderson O L, Isaak D G and Yamamoto S 1989 J. Appl. Phys. 65 1534